

**Agency:** 354 Work Force Train & Educ Coord Board  
**Decision Package Code/Title:** AI Base 5% GFS Reduction  
**Budget Period:** 2011-13  
**Budget Level:** PL - Performance Level

### Recommendation Summary Text:

This is a reduction of General Fund State expenditure authority as directed by the Governor.

### Fiscal Detail

Operating Expenditures	<u>FY 2012</u>	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>Total</u>
001-1 General Fund - Basic Account-State	(70,000)	(69,000)	(139,000)
<b>Total Cost</b>	<b>(70,000)</b>	<b>(69,000)</b>	<b>(139,000)</b>
<b>Staffing</b>	<b><u>FY 2012</u></b>	<b><u>FY 2013</u></b>	<b><u>Annual Average</u></b>
FTEs	-2	-2	-2

### Package Description:

The Governor instructed agencies to identify a five percent reduction in General Fund State spending authority. This package complies with that direction.

Reductions primarily impact the Administration (A002), Workforce Research and Performance Accountability (A007), and Industry Workforce Needs (A013).

### Narrative Justification and Impact Statement

*What specific performance outcomes does the agency expect?*

### Performance Measure Detail

Activity:

Incremental Changes

No measures submitted for package

***Is this decision package essential to implement a strategy identified in the agency's strategic plan?***

The agency's 2010 2015 Strategic Plan contains goal areas and strategies that will be impaired, delayed, or simply not implemented. The WA Workforce Board is widely regarded as one of the premier workforce agencies in the country due to its planning, coordination, evaluation, and policy-making efforts. These significant activities will be severely limited with these reductions.

***Does this decision package provide essential support to one of the Governor's priorities?***

The agency's work supports two key priorities of the Governor: promoting economic development and attaining world class student achievement. The WA Workforce Board, with its tripartite representation of business, labor, and government is pivotal to the state's ability to sustain employment and training efforts during these difficult economic times. This reduction will weaken the support for businesses and workers

***Does this decision package make key contributions to statewide results? Would it rate as a high priority in the Priorities of Government process?***

This reduction impacts the two priorities mentioned above and further erodes the ability of the state to accelerate its recovery from the recession. This is a high priority for the state.

***What are the other important connections or impacts related to this proposal?***

Reduced customer service and a more limited ability to effectively and consistently broker partnership relations will result from this reduction. This will be especially true in the Industry and Research Workforce goal areas where reduced support for the employer and industry activities and reduced evaluation efforts become the norm. Thus measuring the performance results of the workforce system will be hampered. Specifically, research and demonstration contracts and grants are reduced together with staffing oversight for these activities. These reductions come at a time when workforce development should be the highest priority for the state.

***What alternatives were explored by the agency, and why was this alternative chosen?***

Not applicable.

***What are the consequences of not funding this package?***

Not applicable

***What is the relationship, if any, to the state's capital budget?***

None

***What changes would be required to existing statutes, rules, or contracts, in order to implement the change?***

None

***Expenditure and revenue calculations and assumptions***

The agency's reductions were provided by the OFM Budget Office.

***Which costs and functions are one-time? Which are ongoing? What are the budget impacts in future biennia?***

This reduction represents savings from reduced staffing, administrative efficiencies, and cancellations of research and demonstration and evaluation personal service contracts. As the state's budget climate improves, these reductions should be made whole.

<u>Object Detail</u>	<u>FY 2012</u>	<u>FY 2013</u>	<u>Total</u>
A Salaries And Wages	(22,000)	(22,000)	(44,000)
B Employee Benefits	(7,000)	(7,000)	(14,000)
C Personal Service Contracts	(33,000)	(32,000)	(65,000)
E Goods And Services	(8,000)	(8,000)	(16,000)
<b>Total Objects</b>	<b>(70,000)</b>	<b>(69,000)</b>	<b>(139,000)</b>